

# Practical Programming Methodology (CMPUT-201)

Michael Buro

## Lecture 25

- Exceptions Continued
- RAI
- Smart Pointers
- C/C++ Tips

## How to Catch?

All exception objects are copied in the stack unwinding process, possibly many times Because local temporal objects are destroyed

Exceptions should be caught by reference. E.g.

- Catch-by-pointer: delete or not delete?
- Catch-by-value: one additional copy, possible slicing!

Be aware that catching exceptions is expensive - exceptions should be rare events!

## Example

```
void foo() {
    ...
    if (error) throw MyException();
    // creates local object
    // while stack is unwound, this object gets copied
    // everytime, because temporal objects are deleted
    // when function is exited
}

int main() {
    try { foo(); }

    catch (MyException &e) { // no additional copy!
    }
    catch (MyException e) { // bad: additional copy!
    }
    catch (MyException *e) { // bad: delete or not?
    }
}
```

## Operator new and Exceptions

new throws std::bad\_alloc in case memory is unavailable

Thus, checking the result of new (!=0) is a waste of time - it's always != 0

C++ standard demands that memory is available if new doesn't throw

- In practice, however, this is O/S dependent
- I.e.: In some O/S's memory allocation always succeeds, and you'll learn that you don't have enough memory later - segfault ...

## Exception Safety Example

```
void bar()
{
    throw MyException();
}

void foo()
{
    int *p = new int[1000];

    bar();

    delete [] p; // not executed -> memory leak
}
```

## Exception Safety Paradigm: RAI

Resource deallocation code may not be reached in case of exceptions

Use the RAI scheme:

**Resource Allocation Is Initialization**

Exceptions within constructors must be handled right away to free resources (and maybe re-throw)

**Destructor is not called on partly constructed objects!**

**Exceptions must not leave destructors**

- If an exception occurs in destructor while unwinding the stack, program terminates
- Partly completed destructor has not done its job!

## RAII Examples

Say good-bye to using local pointers for memory allocation

- `T *p = new T; .... delete p;`
- `delete p` may not be executed if exception is thrown!
- Solution: smart pointers (coming up)

Open fstreams with constructor call

- `ofstream os("output.txt");`
- When `os` goes out of scope, file is closed

## Another RAI Application

Locking critical regions in concurrent programs

```
void foo()
{
    XyzLib::Mutex mutex;
    mutex.lock()

    // critical region: only one thread allowed to enter

    do_stuff();

    // when exiting function body mutex.unlock() is
    // automatically called in the destructor of mutex
    // even if an exception is thrown
    //
    // otherwise: program could get dead-locked!
}
```

## Smart Pointers

Objects that look, act, and feel like built-in pointers

Used for resource management. E.g.

- Reference counting
- Solving the pointers & exceptions problem

Gain control over:

- Construction and destruction
- Copying and assignment
- Dereferencing

## Auto Pointers

Sole owner of objects

When auto pointers leave scope, the object they point to is destroyed

Auto pointer assignment  $p=q$  transfers ownership

- lhs object ( $*p$ ) is destroyed
- $p$  now points to rhs object ( $*q$ )
- $q$  points to 0

Dangerous:

- storing auto pointers in containers - why?
- passing them by value transfers ownership!

Usual meaning of  $*p$  and  $p->$

## auto\_ptr Example

```
#include <memory>
using namespace std;

class Foo { ... };

void foo()
{
    auto_ptr<Foo> p = new Foo; // or p(new Foo);
    bar(p);
    ...
    // *p is destroyed here (releasing Foo obj.)
    // even if exception is thrown in bar()
}
```

## Auto Pointer Implementation

```
template <typename T> class auto_ptr
{
public:
    auto_ptr(T *p_ = 0) : p(p_) { }

    ~auto_ptr() { delete p; } // here's the magic!
    ...

    T& operator*() const { return *p; }
    T* operator->() const { return p; }
    T get() const { return p; }

private:
    T *p; // actual pointer
}
```

## More Smart Pointers (Boost)

`scoped_ptr<T>`, `scoped_array<T>`

- Simple sole ownership of single object or array, resp.
- Cannot be copied (safeguard)

`shared_ptr<T>`, `shared_array<T>`

- Shared, reference counted ownership of single object or array, respectively
- Can be stored in STL containers
- Cannot handle cyclic data structures

These template classes will become part of the C++ standard library

## Scoped Examples

```
#include <boost/scoped_ptr.hpp>
#include <boost/scoped_array.hpp>
using namespace boost;

void foo()
{
    scoped_ptr<Foo> p(new Foo);
    scoped_ptr<Foo> q = p; // illegal, safeguard!

    p->bar(); ... // use like regular pointer

    scoped_array<Foo> pa(new Foo[100]);
    scoped_array<Foo> qa = pa; // illegal

    pa[10].bar(); // use like regular array

    // p destroyed here => destroys Foo object
    // pa destroyed here => destroys Foo array
}
```

## Shared Example

```
#include <boost/shared_ptr.hpp>
using namespace boost;

void foo(shared_ptr<Foo> &q) {
    shared_ptr<Foo> p(new Foo); // reference count 1
    q = p;                       // copy => reference count 2

    // p destroyed here => reference count 1
    // Foo object not destroyed yet!
}

void main() {
    shared_ptr<Foo> q;

    foo(q); ...
    // q destroyed here
    // => reference count 0 => object destroyed
}
```

## Final Exam

- Wednesday April 26, 2-4pm, here
- Bring OneCard – will be checked
- Closed Book
- Covered material: everything lectures, labs, assignments

## REVIEW – C/C++ Programming Tips

“Wisdom and beauty form a very rare combination.”  
(Petronius Arbiter, Satyricon XCIV)

“With great power comes great responsibility.”  
(Spiderman’s Uncle)

## Why ...

C?

- Code is **FAST**; compiler is **FAST**; often only little slower than hand-written assembly language code
- Lingua Franca of computer science
- Portable. C compilers are available on all systems
- Compilers/interpreters for new languages are often written in C

C++?

- C + classes + templates: **FAST** + **CONVENIENT**
- You are still in total control, unlike Java or C#

## From C to C++

Use const and inline instead of #define

- Macros are not typesafe
- Macros may have unwanted side effects. Use inline functions instead! (e.g. **#define max(a,b) ((a)>(b)?...)**)

Prefer C++ library I/O over C library I/O

- C’s `fprintf` and friends are unsafe and not extensible. Like the syntax? Use `boost::format`!
- C++ `iostream` class safe and extensible
- `iostream` speed is catching up, so speed is hardly a reason anymore for choosing C-library I/O

Prefer C++ style casts

Distinguish between pointers and references

## Memory Management

Use the same form in corresponding calls to `new` and `delete`

- `int *p = new Foo; ... delete p;`
- `int *p = new Foo[100]; ... delete [] p;`

For each `new` there must be a `delete`

Delete pointer members in destructors  
otherwise you are creating memory leaks

No need for checking the return value of `new`  
It throws an exception if no memory available

`delete p` with `p=0` is OK (ignored, no check req.)

## The "Big-4"

Define copy constructor and assignment operator when memory is dynamically allocated  
default bit-wise copy is not sufficient in this case

Make destructors virtual in base classes  
otherwise base class pointers can't call the right destr.

Have operator= return reference to \*this  
for iterated assignments `a = b = c ...`

Assign to all data members in operator=

Check for self assignment in operator=  
`if (this == &rhs) return *this;`

## Operators

Never overload `&&` `||` ,

Distinguish between prefix and postfix forms of `++/--`

- they (should) return different types
- `++i` : returns reference to `i`
- `i++` : returns value of temporary object (can be slower!)

Be consistent. E.g. `++ +=` `prefix++ postfix++`  
should have related semantics

## Class/Function Design (1)

Guard header files against multiple inclusion  
`#ifndef ClassName_H ...`

Strive for complete and minimal interfaces

- complete: users can do anything they need to do
- minimal: as few functions as possible, no overlapping

Minimize compilation dependencies between files

- Consider forward declaration in conjunction with pointers/references to minimize file dependencies
- `class Address;`  
`class Person { ... Adress *address; ... }`
- No need to `#include "Address.h"`

## Class/Function Design (2)

Avoid data members in public/protected interfaces  
use inlined get/set functions – more flexible

Use const whenever possible

Pass and return objects by reference  
But don't return references to non-existent objects  
like local variables!

Avoid returning writable "handles" to internal data from  
const member functions  
otherwise constant objects can be altered

## Inheritance

- Make sure public inheritance models “is a”
- Never redefine an inherited non-virtual function
  - different results for `pBase->f()` and `pDeriv->f()`
- Never redefine an inherited default parameter value
  - Virtual functions are dynamically bound
  - Default parameters are statically bound
- Avoid casting down the inheritance hierarchy
  - Use virtual functions instead

## Exceptions

- Prefer exceptions over C-style error codes
- Use destructors to prevent resource leaks
  - Say good-bye to pointers that manipulate local resources – use smart pointers
- Prevent resource leaks in constructors
  - Destructors are only called for fully constructed objects
- Prevent exceptions from leaving destructors
  - Exceptions within exceptions terminate program
  - Special case: exceptions call destructors ...
- Catch exceptions by reference
  - All alternatives create problems

## Efficiency

- Choose suitable data structures and efficient algorithms
- Consider the 80-20 rule
  - 80% of the resources are used by 20% of the code
  - Focus your optimization efforts by using profilers
- Avoid frequent heap memory allocation
- Know how to save space
  - bits, bytes, unions, home-brewed memory allocators
- Understand costs of virtual functions, multiple inheritance, exception handling, and RTTI
- Consider alternative libs. (e.g. `iostream` vs. `stdio`)

## STL Tips (1)

- Choose your containers wisely
  - sequence/associative/hash, speed, memory consumption
- Careful when storing pointers in containers
  - if the container owns the objects they have to be destroyed before the container
  - possible dangling pointers to vanished objects
  - specify comparison functors
- If speed matters, use vectors or hashed associative containers. If speed really matters, don't use STL (for now, but STL implementations are becoming faster)

## STL Tips (2)

Make sure destination ranges are big enough

Note which algorithms expect sorted ranges

Have realistic expectations about thread safety of STL containers: YOU need to lock containers

Call `empty()` instead of checking `size()` against 0

Make element copies cheap and correct  
STL copies elements often

Make sure comparison functions implement strict weak ordering

More tips in: S.Meyers: Effective STL